

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,967	07/31/2001	Scott-Thanh D. Ngo	TI-32407	2559	
23494	7590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
	TRUMENTS INCOR	HARPER, KEVIN C			
P O BOX 6554 DALLAS, TX	3474, M/S 3999 X 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2666		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11'}		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,,,		
		09/918,967	NGO, SCOTT-THANH	D.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin C. Harper	2666			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	}		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M c, cause the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed SONTHS from the mailing date of this community ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ju</u>	ulv 2001.				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	S.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,10,11,14,16,17,19-23,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>3-9,12,13,15,18,24 and 25</u> is/are objected to.					
8)Ш	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2001 is/are: a)	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ obj	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,	` '			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	i2.		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		en received in this National Stage	е		
* 0	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
~ 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.			
	. •					
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice o	o(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/01</u> .	6)	<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/918,967

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14, 16-17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krivosheev et al. (US 3,995,105).

- 1. Regarding claim 14, Krivosheev discloses a semiconductor device (col. 7, lines 5-15) comprising a pulse sequence counter (fig. 1, item 10; fig. 5, item 64; col. 18, lines 4-7) coupled to an input/output node (fig. 1, item 9; col. 17, lines 41-55) to count the number of pulses received at the node, a first storage location to store a first count result (col. 18, lines 5-6; note: the count is stored therein col. 18, lines 7-9), and a pulse generator (fig. 10, item 62) for generating a specified length sequence of pulses which is one less than the number of pulses received at the input/output node (col. 18, lines 15-25; note: when the number of pulses received in the second group is one, the number of transmitted pulses is the number of received pulses in the first group minus one.)
- 2. Regarding claim 16, a second sequence of pulses is received at a second input/output node (fig. 1, item 7 or 8).
- 3. Regarding claim 17, a second storage location stores a second count result (fig. 5, item 65).
- 4. Regarding claim 19, the device includes a controller (fig. 5, item 63) coupled to and controlling the first storage location, counter and the pulse generator (col. 18, lines 15-25).
- 5. Regarding claims 20-22, the semiconductor device is a microcontroller and a microprocessor (col. 18, lines 12-20; fig. 1, item 17) and a finite state machine (fig. 7; col. 21, lines 23-30).

Claims 23 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lehwalder et al. (US 2003/0204657).

- 6. Regarding claim 23, Lehwalder discloses a system comprising a processor (fig. 4, item 4) coupled to a sequence of codecs (items 20 and 21) to process digital data (para. 27, lines 12-16), a controller (figs. 2 or 4, item 42) for controlling communications between the processor and the sequence of codecs (note: primary and secondary codecs; para. 21), where each codec comprises a port coupled to the processor and the controller (fig. 4, ports having Sdata) and a semiconductor device (paras. 3-4 and 34; note: the semiconductor device is capable of distributed device identifier number assignment and device counting through programming or design - MPEP 2114).
- 7. Regarding claims 26-27, the semiconductor device operates each time the system is powered-up or reset (para. 21, lines 1-4 and 11-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kizu (US 2004/0179511) in view of Downey, Jr. et al. (US 2002/0126574).

8. Regarding claim 1, Kizu discloses a method for device counting (note: "distributed device identifier number assignment" is only found in the preamble and has not been given patentable weight *1; Kizu, fig. 1, registration step) comprising transmitting from a slave and receiving at a

Application/Control Number: 09/918,967

Art Unit: 2666.

Page 4

master a first sequence of received pulses (fig. 1, slave M and slave S1), determining a unique device identifier based on the sequence of received pulses (para. 56, lines 6-9; para. 58, last four lines; para 61, lines 1-4; para. 56, lines 3-6; note: the communication includes inherent physical pulses or burst to achieve communication - para. 81), transmitting and receiving a second sequence of pulses (fig. 1, slaves S2-S4) and determining a device count based on the first and second sequences of received pulses (para. 76; note: the received sequence of pulses is any group of data received at the master).

- 9. However, Kizu does not disclose serially connected chain of devices. Downey, Jr. discloses serially connected slave devices (fig. 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have serially connected slave devices in the invention of Kizu in order to provide a simple device structure (Downey, para. 25, last three lines). (*1 A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).
- 10. Regarding claim 2, first and second memory locations are initialized before receiving the first sequence of pulses (fig. 2, para. 68).
- Regarding claims 10-11, the first received sequence and the first transmitted sequence are received and transmitted over different input/output connections (fig. 3, items 11-12; para. 81; note: the transmission from a slave is received by a master).

Art Unit: 2666

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 3-9, 12-13, 15, 18 and 24-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

October 28, 2005